

NATIONAL MEDICAL COMMISSION

STANDARD ASSESSMENT

FORM- C

FileNo:

/ Assessment No.:

Name of Course - MBBS III [PART 2] EXAM

Name of Subject - MEDICINE

Name of college -

Name of University -

Place of examination -

Date of examination -

1. ASSESSORS

Sl.	Name	Official address	MobileNo.	email address
1	DR NEELIMA DESHPANDE	Professor, Department of medicine, sawar I. Doctor's quarter, V.D.G.M.C., Motinagar, Latur	9422644572	Drneelima08@gmail.com

Assessment order/letter number:

Exam Appointment Letter Number	University Examination Appointment Letter Number
(Senior most examiner, Chairman of Assessors)	Ref. No. MUHS/Pract/Appt/379035/2026 Dt.27/03/2026

2. REPORT OF PREVIOUS ASSESSMENT

Deficiencies pointed out in the last Assessment/if any	Remarks
NIL	NIL

A. Scheme of Examination

Marks allotted

Minimum passing marks

1. Theory:

Final examination	:	200
Internal assessment	:	50
Total for Theory	:	200

2. Clinical/practical:

Final examination	:	200
Internal assessment	:	50
Total for Practical	:	200

3. Viva-voce:

Final examination	:	40
Internal assessment	:	-
Total for Viva-voce	:	40

The minimum passing percentage for subjects with two papers – 40 %
Additionally, candidates are now required to obtain 50% marks in aggregate with minimum distribution of 60:40 or 40:60 in theory and practical component

Grand Total:

B. Theory (Attach 1 copy of each of the papers)

1. Theory paper:

Subject: MEDICINE Time: 3 Hrs.

Date of

exam: No. 1: MEDICINE PART I

No. 2: MEDICINE PART 2

2. Place of conduct of exam. : LATUR

3. Invigilation arrangements : Done (yes)

4. No. of candidates appeared : 144

C. Remarks by the Assessor/s regarding:

- a) Nature of the questions – **MCQs/SAQs/Structured Essay type/Long answers:**
- b) Type of Questions – **Recall based/Application based/Problem based:**
- c) Standard of questions-level of difficulty: **Easy /Moderate /Difficult:**
- d) Do they broadly cover the prescribed curriculum? - Yes
- e) Standard of the answers: (On a scale of 10 where 0=Very poor and 10=Outstanding) - 9
- f) Internal assessment marks (to be reviewed by the assessors) that have contributed to final examination: Yes
- g) Method/pattern of examination of internal examinations conducted during the course of training/study (to be clearly stated): Done as per MUHS Nashik, 6 Internal assessment, theory and practical guidelines.
- h) Have the Internal assessment marks influenced the final examination? - No

II. PRACTICAL/ CLINICAL EXAMINATION:

PRACTICAL

- a) Conduct of the practical examination (provided details including OSCE).

1 Long case 50 marks
2 short cases (25 marks each)
OSCE 4 stations (15x4) 60 marks
Table viva tables (20 marks each)
Total 200 marks

- b) Does the practical examination broadly cover the discipline? - Yes
- c) Time allotted for different sections of the examination (Provided details).

For Examiner Taking case viva	For student
20 min for long case	45 min for long case
10 min for each short case	20 min for each short case
10 min Table viva	
10 min for each OSCE station (4 stations)	10 min for each OSCE station

- d) Details of examiners: (please attach brief cv of the examiners).

Note: Provide this information in a sealed envelop marked confidential.

Names Qualification	Designation	Years of Experience as UG Teacher /Examiner
Internal examiners : DR. NEELIMA DESHPANDE DR. C.M. RAIBHOGE DR. M. S. KARALE	Professor Associate Professor Associate professor	30 years 20 years 12 years
External examiners DR Suryakant Nisale DR Sainath Dhumal DR Kapil More	Associate professor Associate Professor Associate professor	

e) Mode of practical examination: In batches or otherwise and number of students per day (Provide details)

In batches of 25 students per day

- f) Are there other examination centers in the same University: Yes
- If yes, provide details.
- g) Do the same examiners conduct the examination in other centers too? No
- If not, what steps are taken to ensure uniformity of standards? (Are meetings of the examiners being conducted and guidelines are given by the University) as per guidelines given by MUHS
- h) Date of Examination in different centers -
- i) Date and time when the examination was inspected by the assessors – 16/4/2026 to 22/4/2026 (9am to 6 pm)
- j) Are the invigilation arrangements satisfactory? Yes
- k) No. of candidates appeared. -143
- l) Number and type of practical exercises allotted to candidates (enclose copy of questions/tasks)
- m) The standard displayed (On a scale of 10 where 0=Very poor and 10=Outstanding) - 10
- n) Minimum marks required for passing: - 50 %

PRACTICAL

1. No of Long cases - 1
2. No of Short cases - 2
3. How many cases were given to each candidate – 1 long and 2 short cases and 4 OSCE
4. Type of cases given (attach list of long and short cases) List attached
5. Average time for (long cases and short cases) for each Candidate.
 - a. Time for examining the patient 45 min
 - b. Time for discussion fixed time or changed as per the answers of the candidate. 15 min
6. How was the assessment done? By asking questions and methodology
7. Standard displayed by candidates in general in the clinical part of the examination. (On a scale of 10 where 0=Very poor and 10=Outstanding) Good
8. Nature of Discussion of case by the candidate – level of difficulty of questions (On a scale of 10 where 0=Very easy and 10=very difficult) – Moderate to difficult
 - a. Number & type of questions (a copy of the question asked by the examiners may be attached) OSCE 9

- b. Have all the candidates been uniformly examined and grades or marks awarded as per merit of the question? Yes
 - c. Was the discussion fair, searching and sufficient for the assessment of practical knowledge and skills? Yes
 - d. Was the atmosphere friendly and allowed the candidates to express themselves freely? Yes
 - e. Were supplementary questions asked by the examiner to gauge the depth of knowledge of the candidates? Yes
9. Was the assessment done jointly by more than one Examiner? Yes
10. How many marks are allotted for clinical examination? 100
11. What is the minimum percentage for passing the clinical part? 50%
12. Are marks obtained in regular internal examinations added to the marks obtained in the final clinical examination? No
13. Were any other marks from their course of training, or clinical work done in the wards added to the marks obtained in the final clinical examination? No
14. If so, to what extent (in percentage of marks)? -
15. Has it influenced the result at the final examination? No

(Method of assessment of clinical work in the wards may be clearly stated) – Clinical examination by long case and short case examination, 4 OSCE stations and 2 table viva.

VIVA-VOICE

1. The content of the interaction (Give extent of coverage of subject) – Emergency drugs, instruments, ecg, xrays, procedures, lab reports
2. How was it conducted (provided details)? 2 tables of viva – separately (1st table – ECG, Xrays and Lab reports, 2nd table- Emergency drugs and instruments) 20 marks for each
3. What was the standard? (On a scale of 10 where 0=Very poor and 10=Outstanding) – 9

4. What was the nature of assessment? – 1 Long case, 2 short cases, 2 table viva, 4 OSCE stations Checking knowledge and clinical methods by doing demonstration of clinical signs and examination.
5. Was it done jointly by more than one examiner? Yes
6. How are the marks obtained in different parts of the examination grouped? Long case 50 marks, 2 short cases 25 marks each, 2 table viva 20 marks each, 4 OSCE stations 15 marks each
7. What is the minimum for passing in each section and in the grand total? – 50 %
8. Results for the last three years. – around 98 percent
9. No. of students appeared? 27+25+25+25+25+17
10. No. of students passed?
11. Other remarks if any.

Conclusions :

Was the standard sufficient for the M.B.B.S. examination as required by Regulations of the Medical Council of India/National Medical Commission? - Yes

Was the examination conducted satisfactorily to the assessor? -

Observations of the assessors are to be made in assessment report only.

Name & Signature of the Assessor